•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/900,963	GUERIN-MARCHAND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachariah Lucas	1648
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) <u>Zachariah Lucas</u> .	(3)	•
(2) <u>Joseph R. Baker</u> .	. (4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 28-41	•	
Prior art documents discussed:	·.	
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	••	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer of the summer of the	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		•
•		
7		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was indicated that the application would be in condition for allowance upon the amendment of the specification to provide the approriate reference to the parent applications, the cancellation of claims 28-30, 34-36, and 38-41, and the amendment of claims 31-33 and 37 to read as follows:

- - 31. An isolated DNA encoding a polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 38.- -
- - 32. An isolated DNA encoding a polypeptide comprising residues 1-153 of SEQ ID NO: 38.- -
- -- 33. The isolated DNA according to claim 31, wherein said polypeptide is preceded by a peptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 2, wherein X1 is Ser; X2 is Glu; X3 is Arg and X4 is Glu.--
- - 37. The isolated DNA encoding a polypeptide according to claim 32, wherein said polypeptide is fused to one or more peptides. -

The applicant indicated that the amendments were acceptable. It was agreed that the amendments would be made by Examiner's Amendment.